

Gregg M. Galardi, Esq.
Ian S. Fredericks, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM,
LLP
One Rodney Square
PO Box 636
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0636
(302) 651-3000

Dion W. Hayes (VSB No. 34304)
Douglas M. Foley (VSB No. 34364)
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
One James Center
901 E. Cary Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 775-1000

- and -

Chris L. Dickerson, Esq.
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM,
LLP
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 407-0700

Counsel to the Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION

- - - - - x
In re: : Chapter 11
:
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., : Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
et al., :
:
Debtors. : Jointly Administered
- - - - - x
LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC., :
:
Movant, :
:
v. :
:
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., :
et al., :
:
Respondents. :
- - - - - x

```

- - - - - :
UNITED STATES DEBT      :
RECOVERY, LLC,          :
                        :
                Movant,  :
                        :
                v.        :
                        :
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., :
et al.,                 :
                        :
                Respondents. :
- - - - - x
PNY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  :
                        :
                Movant,  :
                        :
                v.        :
                        :
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., :
et al.,                 :
                        :
                Respondents. :
- - - - - x

```

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE CERTAIN
MATTERS RELATED TO MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL FILED BY LG
ELECTRONICS USA, INC., UNITED STATES DEBT RECOVERY, LLC,
AND PNY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

AND NOW, this ____ day of _____,
2010, the Court having considered the Debtors' Motion To
Consolidate Certain Matters Related To Motions For Leave To
Appeal Filed By LG Electronics USA, Inc., United States
Debt Recovery, LLC, and PNY Technologies, Inc. (the
"Motion"); and the Court finding that the grounds set forth
in the Motion justify permitting the Debtors to file a
consolidated answering brief in opposition to the Motions

for Leave and, if requested by the Court, consolidating
oral argument concerning the Motions for Leave;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED.
2. The Debtors shall be permitted to file a
consolidated answering brief in opposition to the Motions
for Leave.
3. If the Court requests oral argument
concerning the Motions for Leave, such oral argument shall
be consolidated.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
_____, 2010

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE